IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RONALD STANLEY, :

.

Petitioner,

: No. 06-CV-0323

:

SUPERINTENDENT SHANNON, et al.,

٧.

Respondents. :

MEMORANDUM and **ORDER**

Green, S.J. July 31, 2006

Presently pending is the Report and Recommendation (the "Report") of United States Magistrate Timothy R. Rice and Petitioner's Objection thereto. The Report recommends that the petition be dismissed, without prejudice, pending a final state court determination regarding the timeliness of Petitioner's second PCRA petition. Petitioner objects to the recommendation and asserts that this court should consider staying the matter until the state court issues a decision. Petitioner also urges this court to consider the instant petition as timely filed since he claims to have filed it within a year of discovering new evidence.

The Magistrate Judge's conclusion and Petitioner's Objection have a similar practical effect. Petitioner asserts that this matter should be stayed until the state court issues a decision on the timeliness of his second PCRA petition. Under either scenario, Petitioner must await determination by the state court. Therefore, the court will overrule Petitioner's Objection and dismiss the petition, without prejudice.

AND NOW, this 31st day of July 2006, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 1. Petitioner's Objection is **OVERRULED**.
- 2. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**.
- 3. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice.
- 4. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability.

5.	The Clerk of Court shall mark this case closed for statistical purposes.	
	BY THE COURT:	
	Clifford Scott Green, S.J.	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RONALD STANLEY,	:
Petitioner, v. SUPERINTENDENT SHANNON, et al., Respondents	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
AND NOW , this 31st day of	July 2006, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's
Motion for an Enlargement of Time to File	Objections is GRANTED and the Objections
heretofore filed have been considered by t	the Court.
	BY THE COURT:
	s/
	Clifford Scott Green, S.J.